



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/604,507	07/28/2003	Han-Wen Hsu	MTKP0056USA	1506	
27765	7590 06/28/2006		EXAMINER		
	MERICA INTELLECTU	GUPTA, PARUL H			
P.O. BOX 50 MERRIFIEI	D, VA 22116	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2627			
		DATE MAILED: 06/28/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/604,50	7	HSU ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	<u> </u>			
		Parul Gupt	a	2627				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DEPLICATION OF THE	DATE OF THE .136(a). In no ever d will apply and will te, cause the appli	S COMMUNICATION of, however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	I. ely filed the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	July 2003.						
2a)□								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-30 are subject to restriction and/or	election req	uirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b)[objected to by the E	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is require	d if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFF	₹ 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTC)-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have beer nts have beer ority docume au (PCT Rule	received. received in Applications have been received in 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage			
2)	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	3)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	•	152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-25, drawn to a device and method of sampling a power of a laser, classified in class 369, subclass 53.26.
- II. Claims 26-30, drawn to a method of calibrating the automatic power control, classified in class 369, subclass 116.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as another mode of power control without using sampling. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,507

Art Unit: 2627

A telephone call was made to Winston Hsu at (302) 729-1562 on 6/16/06 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 2627

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Parul Gupta whose telephone number is 571-272-5260. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, from 8:30 AM to 7 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrea Wellington can be reached on 571-272-4483. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PHG 6/19/06

THANG V.TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4